

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,833	05/03/2005	Masahiro Kajino	Q101061	7356
23373 7590 65/21/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			MABRY, JOHN	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	110111111111111111111111111111111111111		1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/533,833 KAJINO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit

Application No.

John Mabry, PhD 1625

Applicant(s)

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>John Mabry, PhD</u> . (3) <u>Will Simmons, Esq</u> .
(2) Michael R. Dzwonczyk, Esq. (4)
Date of Interview: <u>4/17/08 & 5/13/08</u> .
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-8,11-26 and 28</u> .
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner conticed Applicant's species election did not correspond elected group. This was due to error in restriction requirement made by Examiner. Examiner contacted Attruy Dewnoczyk to clarify and confirm correct variable in restricted group. The restriction requirement will be revised and included included in first office action of the merits. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an